

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/008,657	OLIVER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Brian Roberts	2616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Brian Roberts. (Exmr.)

(3) David Fogg

(2) Hassan Kizou (SPE)

(4) Joseph P. Kendrick

Date of Interview: 05/08/2006

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Ganesan and Inoue

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

\* Applicant's reps pointed out what they see as the difference between the claim and the references, taken alone or in combination.  
Examiner will take this into consideration in the next response.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required